

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Oldershaw
<b>Given Name</b>	Anthony
<b>Person ID</b>	1286584
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Oldershaw
<b>Given Name</b>	Anthony
<b>Person ID</b>	1286584
<b>Title</b>	JP-J 4 Industry and Warehousing Development
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Family Name</b>	Oldershaw
<b>Given Name</b>	Anthony
<b>Person ID</b>	1286584
<b>Title</b>	Bury - Green Belt Additions
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>GBA Bury - Tick which Green Belt addition/s within this District your response relates to - then respond to the questions below</b>	Bury GBA06 Hollins Brook Bury GBA08 Hollins Brow
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	I see that a good proportion of the new green belt are in areas where, due to the geography (I.e large slopes and water course present) it would not be feasible to build anyway. So as far as I can see this is a con, you can say we have added x acres green belt but in reality nobody could feasibly build there anyway.